

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

<b>DAVID WILLIAMS,</b>	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
V.	)	Civil No. <b>01-986-WDS</b>
	)	
<b>ILLINOIS DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS, et al),</b>	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

**ORDER**

**PROUD, Magistrate Judge:**

Before the Court is plaintiff's "Motion for Disclosure." (**Doc. 53**). The Court construes the motion as a motion to compel defendants Gerst and Kumar to provide plaintiff with copies of their affidavits, which were submitted in support of their motion for summary judgment.

A review of the record reveals that the Court did allow defendants Gerst and Kumar to supplement their motion for summary judgment with Gerst's affidavit; excerpts of plaintiff's deposition were also permitted filed. (**Doc. 51**). In order to minimize any further delay, the Court is forwarding a copy of all exhibits, including Gerst's affidavit, which were allowed to be added in support of the defendants' motion for summary judgment.

**IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that plaintiff's motion to compel (**Doc. 53**) is **DENIED AS MOOT**. The Clerk of Court shall forward a copy of **Doc. 51** to plaintiff, along with a copy of this order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**DATED: May 31, 2005**

s/Clifford J. Proud  
**CLIFFORD J. PROUD**  
**U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE**